IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

HERITAGE REALTY MANAGEMENT,	Case No. CA 04 - 333 ERIE			
INC.,)			
Plaintiff)	Judge Sean McLaughlin		
)			
V.)			
)			
JOHN ALLIN d/b/a ALLIN COMPANIES,)			
Defendant-Third Party Plaintiff)			
)			
v.)			
)			
SYMBIOT BUSINESS GROUP, INC. and)			
SYMBIOT SNOW MANAGEMENT)			
NETWORK, LLC,)			
Third Party Defendants)	TRIAL BY JURY OF 12 DEMANDED		

DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO THIRD PARTY DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STRIKE AND/OR DISMISS THIRD PARTY COMPLAINT

AND NOW, the Defendant, John Allin d/b/a Allin Companies, by and through his attorneys, ELDERKIN, MARTIN, KELLY & MESSINA, files the following Response in Opposition to Third Party Defendants' Motion to Strike and/or Dismiss Third Party Complaint, respectfully representing as follows:

A. MOTION TO STRIKE - LEAVE OF COURT NOT REQUIRED

- 1. Admitted.
- 2. Admitted.

	2 4 1				mitte				
-4		Δ	1	m	111	۲t	0	a	
		$\overline{}$	u					u	

- 4. Admitted.
- 5. Denied. The second amended complaint introduced new facts and an entirely new cause of action, namely a cause of action premised upon alleged anticipatory breach of contract. See *Plaintiff's termination letter of November 3, 2004*, attached hereto as Exhibit "A," which sets forth the alleged basis of the cause of action previously asserted in the original Complaint and the first Amended Complaint; *Allin depos. p. 30*, attached hereto as Exhibit "B", regarding the date of the asset sale to Symbiot.
 - 6. Denied.
 - 7. Admitted.
 - 8. Denied.

WHEREFORE, Defendant respectfully requests this Honorable Court to issue an order denying the motion of Third Party Defendant.

B. MOTION TO STRIKE - THERE IS NO PREJUDICE TO SYMBIOT

9. Denied.

10. Denied. The Third Party Complaint was properly filed in response to the Plaintiff's new cause of action for anticipatory breach, which cause of action allegedly arose from Defendant's asset sale to Symbiot.

11. Denied.

WHEREFORE, Defendant respectfully requests this Honorable Court to issue an order denying the motion of Third Party Defendant.

C. MOTION TO DISMISS - FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM

- 12. Admitted in part. The third party complaint also asserts that Symbiot is liable pursuant to its contractual agreement to indemnify Defendant from the claims asserted by the Plaintiff.
- 13. Admitted in part. The third party complaint also asserts that Symbiot is liable pursuant to its contractual agreement to indemnify Defendant from the claims asserted by the Plaintiff.

14. Denied.

WHEREFORE, Defendant respectfully requests this Honorable Court to issue an order denying the motion of Third Party Defendant.

D. MOTION TO DISMISS - VENUE

15. Admitted.

16. Denied. The agreement between Symbiot and Defendant regarding Symbiot's obligations to indemnify Defendant from Plaintiff's claims is an agreement dated November 22, 2004, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "C."

17. Denied.

WHEREFORE, Defendant respectfully requests this Honorable Court to issue an order denying the motion of Third Party Defendant.

Respectfully submitted,

ELDERKIN, MARTIN, KELLY & MESSINA

By /s/ Craig A. Markham

Craig A. Markham, Esquire Attorney for Defendant 150 East Eighth Street Erie, Pennsylvania 16501 (814) 456-4000